# Hitanshu Pandit
FA20 202008 Fall   ECO 201R-07 Microeconomics Recitation (ECO-201R-07-Fall 2020)
No. of responses = 15

Overall indicators

Evaluation of Instruction (α = 0.9)

av.=3.3
dev.=0.88

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers   Std. Dev.   Mean   Median

Left pole   Right pole

Scale   Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Evaluation of Instruction

**I wish to nominate this instructor for the Bryan School teaching award.**

Yes — 8.3%
No — 91.7%

n=12

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.

Strongly Disagree — 0% 26.7% 26.7% 40% 6.7% — Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=3.27
md=3
dev.=0.96

The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.

Strongly Disagree — 0% 0% 40% 40% 20% — Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=3.8
md=4
dev.=0.77

The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.

Strongly Disagree — 0% 13.3% 46.7% 26.7% 13.3% — Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=3.4
md=3
dev.=0.91

The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.

Strongly Disagree — 0% 26.7% 40% 20% 13.3% — Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=3.2
md=3
dev.=1.01

The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.

Strongly Disagree — 0% 6.7% 33.3% 53.3% 6.7% — Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=3.6
md=4
dev.=0.74

The instructor's overall teaching was effective.

Strongly Disagree — 0% 46.7% 33.3% 13.3% 6.7% — Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=2.8
md=3
dev.=0.94

The overall quality of this course was high.

Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree

	0%	20%	60%	13.3%	6.7%	
	1	2	3	4	5	

n=15
av.=3.07
md=3
dev.=0.8

Histogram for scaled questions

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.

Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree
27%	27%	40%	7%

av. = 3.27
dev. = 0.96
n = 15

The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.

Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree
40%	40%	20%

av. = 3.8
dev. = 0.77
n = 15

The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.

Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree
13%	47%	27%	13%

av. = 3.4
dev. = 0.91
n = 15

The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.

Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree
27%	40%	20%	13%

av. = 3.2
dev. = 1.01
n = 15

The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.

Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree
7%	33%	53%	7%

av. = 3.6
dev. = 0.74
n = 15

The instructor's overall teaching was effective.

Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree
47%	33%	13%	7%

av. = 2.8
dev. = 0.94
n = 15

The overall quality of this course was high.

Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree
20%	60%	13%	7%

av. = 3.07
dev. = 0.8
n = 15

Profile

- **Subunit:** [ECO] Economics
- **Name of the instructor:** Hitanshu Pandit
- **Name of the course:** 202008 Fall   ECO 201R-07 Microeconomics Recitation
  (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Evaluation of Instruction

Question		Scale		n	av.	md	dev.
The instructor was well-prepared for classes.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=3.27	md=3.00	dev.=0.96
The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=3.80	md=4.00	dev.=0.77
The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=3.40	md=3.00	dev.=0.91
The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=3.20	md=3.00	dev.=1.01
The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=3.60	md=4.00	dev.=0.74
The instructor's overall teaching was effective.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=2.80	md=3.00	dev.=0.94
The overall quality of this course was high.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=3.07	md=3.00	dev.=0.80

Profile Line for Indicators

Subunit: [ECO] Economics
Name of the instructor: Hitanshu Pandit
Name of the course: 202008 Fall   ECO 201R-07 Microeconomics Recitation
(Name of the survey)

Evaluation of Instruction   +├────┼────┼──■─┼────┤ -   av.=3.30   dev.=0.88

Comments Report

Evaluation of Instruction

What is the name of the instructor you are evaluating?

- Hitanshu Pandit (11 Counts)

- Hitanshu Pandit (2 Counts)

Use this space to leave any comments about the instructor.

- Hard to understand

- He did a good job presenting information to the class, but sometimes it felt as if he didn't go in depth enough to thoroughly explain things.

Use this space to leave any comments about the course.

■ I think this class could be more helpful and more of a learning environment. Majority of the work during this class was done independently and we didn't come back together to get clear answers on how to answer questions.

■ The instructor would only split us up in our break up rooms until 4:45 and then end the session. It would have been more effective if he would have spent the beginning portion of class reviewing the chapter and give an overall lesson. In my experience, my group would always finish the exercise for the week before the time we had to finish. This spare time could be more useful as reviewing, rather than not doing anything.

■ This recitation was definitely a lot harder to do online it would have been much better in person.