# Hitanshu Pandit
SP21 202101 Spring ECO 201R-10 Microeconomics Recitation (ECO-201R-10-Spring 2021)
No. of responses = 19

Overall indicators

Evaluation of Instruction (α = 0.95)

av.=4.25
dev.=0.94

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers   Std. Dev.   Mean   Median

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Left pole   Right pole

Scale   Histogram

Evaluation of Instruction

I wish to nominate this instructor for the Bryan School teaching award.

Yes	33.3%	n=18
No	66.7%	

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.

Strongly Disagree — 0% / 0% / 21.1% / 15.8% / 63.2% — Strongly Agree

n=19
av.=4.42
md=5
dev.=0.84

The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.

Strongly Disagree — 0% / 0% / 10.5% / 31.6% / 57.9% — Strongly Agree

n=19
av.=4.47
md=5
dev.=0.7

The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.

Strongly Disagree — 0% / 0% / 26.3% / 26.3% / 47.4% — Strongly Agree

n=19
av.=4.21
md=4
dev.=0.85

The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.

Strongly Disagree — 5.3% / 0% / 31.6% / 10.5% / 52.6% — Strongly Agree

n=19
av.=4.05
md=5
dev.=1.18

The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.

Strongly Disagree — 0% / 0% / 21.1% / 15.8% / 63.2% — Strongly Agree

n=19
av.=4.42
md=5
dev.=0.84

The instructor's overall teaching was effective.

Strongly Disagree — 5.3% / 0% / 31.6% / 10.5% / 52.6% — Strongly Agree

n=19
av.=4.05
md=5
dev.=1.18

The overall quality of this course was high.

	0%	5.3%	26.3%	21.1%	47.4%	
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

n=19
av.=4.11
md=4
dev.=0.99

Histogram for scaled questions

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	av. = 4.42
21%, 16%, 63%	dev. = 0.84
	n = 19

The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	av. = 4.47
11%, 32%, 58%	dev. = 0.7
	n = 19

The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	av. = 4.21
26%, 26%, 47%	dev. = 0.85
	n = 19

The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	av. = 4.05
5%, 32%, 11%, 53%	dev. = 1.18
	n = 19

The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	av. = 4.42
21%, 16%, 63%	dev. = 0.84
	n = 19

The instructor's overall teaching was effective.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	av. = 4.05
5%, 32%, 11%, 53%	dev. = 1.18
	n = 19

The overall quality of this course was high.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	av. = 4.11
5%, 26%, 21%, 47%	dev. = 0.99
	n = 19

Profile

Subunit: [ECO] Economics

Name of the instructor: Hitanshu Pandit

Name of the course: (Name of the survey) 202101 Spring ECO 201R-10 Microeconomics Recitation

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Evaluation of Instruction

Question			Statistics
The instructor was well-prepared for classes.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree		n=19 av.=4.42 md=5.00 dev.=0.84
The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree		n=19 av.=4.47 md=5.00 dev.=0.70
The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree		n=19 av.=4.21 md=4.00 dev.=0.85
The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree		n=19 av.=4.05 md=5.00 dev.=1.18
The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree		n=19 av.=4.42 md=5.00 dev.=0.84
The instructor's overall teaching was effective.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree		n=19 av.=4.05 md=5.00 dev.=1.18
The overall quality of this course was high.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree		n=19 av.=4.11 md=4.00 dev.=0.99

Profile Line for Indicators

Subunit: [ECO] Economics
Name of the instructor: Hitanshu Pandit
Name of the course: 202101 Spring ECO 201R-10 Microeconomics Recitation
(Name of the survey)

Evaluation of Instruction

av.=4.25   dev.=0.94

Comments Report

Evaluation of Instruction

What is the name of the instructor you are evaluating?

-
  Hitanshu Pandit/Jeff Sarbaum

- Dr. Jeff Sarbaum

- Hitanshu Pandit (9 Counts)

- Hitanshu Pandit

- JEFF SARBAUM

- Jeff Sarbaum (3 Counts)

- hitanshu pandit

- jeff Sarbaum

Use this space to leave any comments about the instructor.

- Dr. Sarbaum explained things in a way that made the material very easy to understand. He used real life examples to help explain the topics in this course. He was available to answer questions and provide any help if needed. The exam review sessions were very helpful in preparing for exams. Before taking this course, I was worried I might not like economics. However, Dr. Sarbaum really made learning the material fun and interesting. I enjoyed this course so much that I want to minor in Economics.

- Good response time.

- He helped us when we had questions but when class started he just told us to go look at the lecture slides and go off them to do the assignment that we had to finish by the end of class.

- Hitanshu was very helpful and always extended a helping hand every class. He also explained and broke down the material in a way that was very understandable.
  Prof. Sarabum did the same by holding review sessions before every exam that were extreme simplifications of the material and were very useful and free resources to help pass the tests.

Use this space to leave any comments about the course.

- For an online course, this was very well put together. There were multiple ways to learn the material, including videos of classroom lectures and the online learning area. The online learning area was clear and informative, and really helped to learn the material. Overall, I really enjoyed this course and learned a lot.

- I think that the course could have more instructing instead of working with other peers that wouldn't understand also.

- There is a lot of homework in the course which can be overwhelming. The most helpful material I found in the course were the video quizzes on MindMap.

- This course was very informative.

- Zoom is a terrible thing and is the source of the majority of low grades in this course and many others. Please reconsider in future Zoom courses for the good of student mental health and the learning value that they get. Remember that you ARE charging them full price after all.