


Hitanshu Pandit

SP21 202101 Spring ECO 201R-11 Microeconomics Recitation (ECO-201R-11-Spring 2021)
No. of responses = 11



Overall indicators

Evaluation of Instruction ($\alpha = 0.94$)




av.=3.99
dev.=0.97

Survey Results

Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Evaluation of Instruction


I wish to nominate this instructor for the Bryan School teaching award.




The instructor was well-prepared for classes.




The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.




The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.




The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.




The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.



The instructor's overall teaching was effective.




The overall quality of this course was high.




n=11
av.=3.73
md=4
dev.=1.01

Histogram for scaled questions


The instructor was well-prepared for classes.




The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.




The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.




The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.




The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.



The instructor's overall teaching was effective.



The overall quality of this course was high.










Profile

Subunit: [ECO] Economics
 Name of the instructor: Hitanshu Pandit
 Name of the course: 202101 Spring ECO 201R-11 Microeconomics Recitation
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Evaluation of Instruction

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.27	md=4.00	dev.=0.79
The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.09	md=4.00	dev.=0.94
The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.09	md=4.00	dev.=0.83
The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.00	md=4.00	dev.=1.00
The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=3.91	md=4.00	dev.=1.14
The instructor's overall teaching was effective.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=3.82	md=4.00	dev.=1.08
The overall quality of this course was high.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=3.73	md=4.00	dev.=1.01

Profile Line for Indicators

Subunit: [ECO] Economics
Name of the instructor: Hitanshu Pandit
Name of the course: 202101 Spring ECO 201R-11 Microeconomics Recitation
(Name of the survey)

Evaluation of Instruction



av.=3.99

dev.=0.97

Comments Report

Evaluation of Instruction

What is the name of the instructor you are evaluating?

- Hitanshu Pandit (7 Counts)
- Jeff Sarbaum (2 Counts)
- Jeff Sarbaum/ TA Pandit

Use this space to leave any comments about the instructor.

- At certain points the instructor seemed very aggressive when speaking about attendance.
- Great class and great instructors.
- N/A
- You can tell that Instructor Sarbaum puts a lot of work into his material, especially the one in the learning area. This material was very helpful, but it was also a lot to take in (especially when we got to the units half way through the semester). However, in order to successfully complete the check in quiz we had to go through all that material, which already took a couple hours at times, and left me with little time to watch lectures and other videos to better understand the material. I would say that from what I could see in his lecture videos, taking the class in person may have been a lot more helpful. Taking it online was very overwhelming, and I found myself scrambling for time to study for this course and my other courses as well. He was very kind in curving grades and such, but I think the MindTap homework should be set up to where the student gets graded using the attempt with the highest score instead of the average because the homework is harder than the material in the learning area.

Use this space to leave any comments about the course.

- A lot of assignments but the actual material was very straightforward
- I thought the course was informative and taught a lot of useful skills, however, I do not think placing such an emphasis on homework is beneficial as it is meant for learning and in some cases, with the amount of homework assigned it becomes difficult to manage and at a certain point crosses the threshold where it is a detriment rather than an aid in understanding the course material. In addition, not being able to view past exams without special permission prevents learning from mistakes made on the exam. In future courses I hope there is a more streamlined way to determine which zoom link to use as well as this was very confusing and did not line up with calendars.
- N/A